


(Mobile ebook) Genentech: The Beginnings of Biotech (Synthesis)

Genentech: The Beginnings of Biotech (Synthesis)

Sally Smith Hughes

*audiobook / *ebooks / Download PDF / ePub / DOC*



#259980 in eBooks 2011-09-21 2011-09-21 File Name: B00629MDKI | File size: 45.Mb

Sally Smith Hughes : Genentech: The Beginnings of Biotech (Synthesis) before purchasing it in order to gauge whether or not it would be worth my time, and all praised Genentech: The Beginnings of Biotech (Synthesis):

3 of 3 people found the following review helpful. Lessons from one of the first biotech start-ups By Herve Lebet My reading of Genentech follows my reading Science lessons about Amgen and this is a review I published elsewhere (my blog) as apologies for any inconsistency...I have to admit I had never heard of the Bancroft Library's website (<http://bancroft.berkeley.edu/ROHO/pro...>) for the Program in Bioscience and Biotechnology Studies, which centerpiece is a continually expanding oral history collection on bioscience and biotechnology [with] in-depth, fully searchable interviews with basic biological scientists from numerous disciplines; with scientists, executives, attorneys,

and others from the biotechnology industry. The invention of new research and business practices over a very short period Swanson was captivated: "This idea [of genetic engineering] is absolutely fantastic; it is revolutionary; it will change the world; it's the most important thing I have ever heard." [Page 32] "I always maintain" Boyer reminisced, "that the best attribute we had was our naïveté; I think if we had known about all the problems we were going to encounter, we would have thought twice about starting." Naïveté was the extra added ingredient in biotechnology. [Page 36] The book shows the importance of scientific collaborations. Not just Boyer at UCSF but for example with a hospital in Los Angeles. A license was signed with City of Hope Hospital with a 2% royalty on sales on products based on the licensed technology. [Page 57] Even if in 2000, City of Hope had received \$285M in royalties, it was not happy with the outcome. After many trials, the California Supreme Court in 2008 awarded another \$300M to City of Hope. So the book shows that these collaborations gave also much legal litigation. [Page 58] In a few years, Genentech could synthesize somatostatin, insulin, human growth hormone and interferon. It is fascinating to read how intense, uncertain, stressful these years were for Swanson, Perkins, Boyer and the small group of Genentech employees and academic partners (Goeddel, Kleid, Heyneker, Seeburg, Riggs, Itakura, Crea), in part because of the emerging competition from other start-ups (Biogen, Chiron) and academic labs (Harvard, UCSF). On August 25, 1978 — four days after Goeddel's insulin chain-joining feat — the two parties signed a multimillion-dollar, twenty-year research and development agreement. For an upfront licensing fee of \$500,000, Lilly got what it wanted: exclusive worldwide rights to manufacture and market human insulin using Genentech's technology. Genentech was to receive 6 percent royalties and City of Hope 2 percent royalties on product sales. [Page 94] They managed to negotiate a contractual condition limiting Lilly's use of Genentech's engineered bacteria to the manufacture of recombinant insulin alone. The technology would remain Genentech's property, or so they expected. As it turned out, the contract, and that clause in particular, became a basis for a prolonged litigation. In 1990, the courts awarded Genentech over \$150 million in a decision determining that Lilly had violated the 1978 contract by using a component of Genentech's insulin technology in making its own human growth hormone product. [Page 95] Perkins believed that the 8 percent royalty rate was unusually high, at a time when royalties on pharmaceutical products were along the lines of 3 or 4 percent. "It was kind of exorbitant royalty, but we agreed anyway — Lilly was anxious to be first (with human insulin)" [Page 97] The invention of a new culture Young as Swanson was, he kept everyone focused on product-oriented research. He continued to have scant tolerance for spending time, effort, and money on research not tied directly to producing marketable products. "We were interested in making something usable that you could turn into a drug, inject in humans, take to clinical trials." A few years before his premature death, Swanson remarked, "I think one of the things I did best in those days was to keep us very focused on making a product." His goal-directed management style differed markedly from that of Genentech's close competitors. [Page 129] But at the same time Boyer would guarantee a high quality research level by encouraging employees to write the best possible scientific articles. This guaranteed the reputation of Genentech in the academic world. A culture was taking shape at Genentech that had no exact counterpart in industry or academia. The high-tech firms in Silicon Valley and along Route 128 in Massachusetts shared its emphasis on innovation, fast-moving research, and intellectual property creation and protection. But the electronics and computer industries, and every other industrial sector for that matter, lacked the close, significant, and sustained ties with university research that Genentech drew upon from the start and that continue to define the biotechnology industry of today. Virtually every element in the company's research endeavor — from its scientists to its intellectual and technological foundations — had originated in decade upon decade of accumulated basic-science knowledge generated in academic labs. [Page 131] But academic values had to accommodate corporate realities: at Swanson's insistence, research was to lead to strong patents, marketable products, and profit. Genentech's culture was in short a hybrid of academic values brought in line with commercial objectives and practices. [Page 132] Swanson was the supportive but insistent slave driver, urging on employees beyond their perceived limits: "Bob wanted everything. He would say, 'If you don't have more things on your plate than you can accomplish, then you're not trying hard enough.' He wanted you to have a large enough list that you couldn't possibly get everything done, and yet he wanted you to try." Fledgling start-ups pitted against pharmaceutical giants could compete mainly by being more innovative, aggressive, and fleet of foot. Early Genentech had those attributes in

spades. Swanson expected and demanded a lot of everyone. His attitude was as Roberto Crea recalled: "Go get it; be there first; we have to beat everybody else"; We were small, undercapitalized, and relatively unknown to the world. We had to perform better than anybody else to gain legitimacy in the new industry. Once we did, we wanted to maintain leadership." [hellip;] As Perkins said "Bob would never be accused of lacking a sense of urgency." [hellip;] Even Ullrich, despite European discomfort with raucous American behavior, admitted to being seduced by Genentech's unswervingly committed, can-do culture. [Page 133] New exit strategies Initially Kleiner thought Genentech would be acquired by a major pharma company. It was just a question of when. He approached Johnson and Johnson and floated the idea of a purchase price of \$80 million. The offer fell flat. Fred Middleton [Genentech's VP of finance], present at the negotiations, speculated that JJ didn't have a clue about what to do with this [recombinant DNA] technology and certainly didn't know what it was worth. They couldn't fit it in a Band-Aid mold. JJ executives were unsure how to value Genentech, there being no standard for comparison or history of earnings. [Page 140] Perkins and Swanson made one more attempt to sell Genentech. Late in 1979, Perkins, Swanson, Kiley and Middleton boarded a plane for Indianapolis to meet with Eli Lilly's CEO and others in top management. Perkins suggested a selling price of \$100 million. Middleton's view is that Lilly was hamstrung by a conservative "not invented here" mentality, an opinion supported by the drug firm's reputation for relying primarily on internal research and only reluctantly on outside contracts. The company's technology was too novel, too experimental, too unconventional for a conservative pharmaceutical industry to adopt whole-heartedly. [Page 141] When Genentech successfully developed interferon, a new opportunity happened. Interferon had been discovered in 1957 and thought to prevent virus infection. In November 1978, Swanson signed a confidential letter of intent with Hoffmann-La Roche and a formal agreement in January 1980. They were also lucky: Heyneker and a colleague attended a scientific meeting in which the speaker to everyone's astonishment given the field's intense competitiveness projected a slide of a partial sequence of fibroblast interferon. They telephoned the information to Goeddel, who instantly relayed the sequence order to Crea. [hellip;] Crea started to construct the required probes. [hellip;] Goeddel constructed a "library" of thousands upon thousands of bacterial cells, seeking ones with interferon gene. Using the partial sequence Pestka retrieved, Goeddel cloned full-length DNA sequences for both fibroblast and leukocyte interferon. [hellip;] In June 1980, after filing patent protection, Genentech announced the production in collaboration with Roche. [Page 145] Genentech could consider going public and after another fight between Perkins and Swanson, Genentech decided to do so. Perkins had seen that the year 1980 was perfect for financing biotech companies through a public offering but Swanson saw the challenges this would mean for a young company with nearly no revenue or product. New role models The 1980-81 period would see the creation of a fleet of entrepreneurial biology-based companies: Amgen, Chiron, Calgene, Molecular Genetics, Integrated Genetics, and firms of a lesser note: all inspired by Genentech's example of a new organizational model for biological and pharmaceutical research. Before the IPO window closed in 1983, eleven biotech companies in addition to Genentech and Cetus, had gone public*. [hellip;] But not only institutions were transformed. Genentech's IPO transformed Herb Boyer, the small-town guy of blue-collar origins, into molecular biology's first industrial multimillionaire. For admiring scientists laboring at meager academic salaries in relative obscurity, he became a conspicuous inspiration for their own research might be reoriented and their reputation enhanced. If unassuming Herb: just a guy from Pittsburgh, as a colleague observed: could found a successful company with all the rewards and renown that entailed, why couldn't they? [Page 161]*: According to one source, the companies staging IPO were Genetic Systems, RibImmunochem, Genome Therapeutics, Centocor, Bio-Technology General, California Biotechnology, Immunex, Amgen, Biogen, Chiron, and Immunomedics. (Robbins-Roth, From Alchemy To Ipo: The Business Of Biotechnology) 1 of 1 people found the following review helpful. Well worth the reader's time and effort. By Roger M. Mills MD The title pretty well explains the subject of Genentech. Hughes does not spare scientific detail, but she writes about it deftly, blending the science into the narrative. Readers with even the most basic understanding of genetics will hardly notice that they have gotten a short lesson on recombinant technology along with a great story. 2 of 2 people found the following review helpful. Vivid, human account, warts 'n all By Customer Vivid account of Genentech's founding and the early travails of the biotech industry. Things that would not immediately spring to mind today, like the deep suspicion or outright hostility of academic scientists to scientists who had an interest in business, or the paranoia surrounding the early days of genetic engineering, even in the scientific community. Somewhat uncritical acceptance of a "testosterone-charged atmosphere" as a key component of their success. Anybody who's worked with accomplished women scientists knows drive and ambition do not depend on one hormone!

In the fall of 1980, Genentech, Inc., a little-known California genetic engineering company, became the overnight darling of Wall Street, raising over \$38 million in its initial public stock offering. Lacking marketed products or substantial profit, the firm nonetheless saw its share price escalate from \$35 to \$89 in the first few minutes of trading, at that point the largest gain in stock market history. Coming at a time of economic recession and declining

technological competitiveness in the United States, the event provoked banner headlines and ignited a period of speculative frenzy over biotechnology as a revolutionary means for creating new and better kinds of pharmaceuticals, untold profit, and a possible solution to national economic malaise.

ldquo;Hughes has crafted an engaging historical account of Genentech from its beginnings as a small laboratory at the University of California, San Francisco to the 2009 merger with Roche for 47 billion dollars. . . . [Her] account will appeal to a broad audience and is a must read for scholars interested in the history of biotechnology. Highly recommended.rdquo;